



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,368	07/09/2001	Nithyalakshmi Sampathkumar	MS180587.1	6483
27195 75	590 01/24/2006		EXAMINER	
AMIN & TUF	ROCY, LLP	HILLERY, NATHAN		
24TH FLOOR,	NATIONAL CITY CE	NTER		
1900 EAST NI	NTH STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAND,	OH 44114	2176		
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Арр	lication No.	cation No. Applicant(s)				
		09/9	901,368	SAMPATHKUM	SAMPATHKUMAR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			miner	Art Unit				
			nan Hillery	2176				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE NESTORS IN COMMENTAL THE NESTOR OF THE NESTO	MAILING DATE (s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. tatutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUN in no event, however, may y and will expire SIX (6) M the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 🔀	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>07 Novem</i>	ber 2005.					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	, -							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Di⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			,					
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o			of Informal Patent Application (P	TO-152)			
. —	r No(s)/Mail Date	·,	6) 🗌 Other: _	<u> </u>				

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: RCE filed on 11/07/05.

- 2. Claims 1 19 are pending in the case. Claims 1 and 19 are independent.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1 19 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable has been maintained.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

4. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/3/05 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ADO.NET (English translation) and further in view of Omoigui (US 20030126136 A1).
- Regarding independent claim 1, ADO.NET discloses a transformer adapted to transform one or more input XML items in a first format to one or more transformed XML items in one or more second formats (p 4), the one or more

Application/Control Number: 09/901,368

Art Unit: 2176

input XML items comprise a subset of XML items contained in a XML document (pp 16 and 17). ADO.NET does not explicitly disclose an output... However, Omoigui teaches that the Results Browser is responsible for displaying the results of queries, and the information on any local resources opened. The Results Browser preferably obtains one or more XML files from the Query Manager and merges these into a single XML file that represents a list of objects. The list itself may be filtered or sorted as an initial step. The list as a structure is transformed by a special class of Skin (an XSLT transform sheet, possibly including some script) that handles lists. The list-Skin creates the primary DHTML (or the like) structure, e.g., a list, a table or perhaps a timed sequence. Object Skins manage the individual DHTML items that present the information for each object instance. List-Skins may handle the dispatch of individual object Skins (mapping object class to Skin), but the Results Brower preferably provides default mappings of class to Skin for simplicity (Column 40, paragraph 0759), which provide an output manager adapted to facilitate selectively pulling and/or pushing a subset of the transformed XML items. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of ADO.NET with the invention of Omoigui because such a combination would provide the readers of ADO NET with an integrated and seamless implementation framework and resulting medium for knowledge retrieval, management, delivery and presentation (Column 5, paragraph 0071).

Page 3

8. **Regarding dependent claim 2**, ADO.NET does not explicitly disclose **action frame stack**. However, Omoigui teaches that *the preferred embodiment of the*

Art Unit: 2176

Information Nervous System provides support for all aspects of security: authentication, authorization, auditing, data privacy, data integrity, availability, and non-repudiation.

This is accomplished by employing standards such as WS-Security, which provides a platform for security with XML Web Service applications. Security is preferably handled at the protocol layer via security standards in the XML Web Service protocol stack. This includes encrypting method calls from clients (semantic browsers) to servers (Agencies), support for digital signatures, authenticating the calling user before granting access to an Agency's Semantic Network and XML Web Service methods, etc. (paragraph 0367), which provide that the transformer comprises an action frame stack adapted to hold one or more actions, an event state machine adapted to track state associated with transforming the one or more XML items and an event processor adapted to receive events generated in processing the one or more actions stored in the action frame stack.

9. Regarding dependent claim 3, ADO.NET does not explicitly disclose compiler. However, Omoigui teaches that the Presenter includes an SQML interpreter. When the Presenter opens an SQML file, it preferably interprets it by first parsing it, validating it, creating a master entry table, and then executing the entries in the entry table. Effectively, it "compiles" the SQML file before "executing" it, not unlike how a language compiler compiles source code into an object module before it is then linked with other modules and executed. In the case of the SQML interpreter, this process optionally involves loading other SQML files via references. This process is preferably not cyclical. The client uses the XSLT templates in the "<skin>;" tags (if available and if not

Application/Control Number: 09/901,368

Art Unit: 2176

overridden by default or Agent Skins) to display the information for each declared object type. Any returned objects that do not have a declared Skin are displayed with the default Skin of the object type or, in the case of a single Agent entry, that of the Agent (if one is specified) (paragraph 0971), which provide that a compiler adapted to compile one or more style sheets and produce one or more actions that can be employed by the transformer in processing associated with transforming the one or more input XML items. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of ADO.NET with the invention of Omoigui because such a combination would provide the readers of ADO.NET with an integrated and seamless implementation framework and resulting medium for knowledge retrieval, management, delivery and presentation (Column 5, paragraph 0071).

Page 5

10. Regarding dependent claim 4, ADO.NET does not explicitly disclose compiler. However, Omoigui teaches that in addition, SQML includes tags that enable semantic filtering (via custom links and predicates) which indicate how data is to be queried and filtered from the resources, and arguments that indicate how the resources are to be queried and how the results are to be filtered. In particular, the arguments can include references to local or remote context. The context arguments are then resolved by the client-side SQP at run-time to XML metadata (paragraph 0274), which provide that the compiler is further adapted to resolve one or more external references in the one or more style sheets. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of ADO.NET with the invention of

Art Unit: 2176

Omoigui because such a combination would provide the readers of ADO.NET with an integrated and seamless implementation framework and resulting medium for knowledge retrieval, management, delivery and presentation (Column 5, paragraph 0071).

- 11. Regarding dependent claim 5, ADO.NET disclose the input XML items are input from one or more data stores (p 5 upper right hand corner).
- 12. Regarding dependent claims 6 11, ADO.NET disclose an input abstracter adapted to expose data stored in the one or more data stores in a common representation, the input abstractor is further adapted to abstract a reference to a node within an Xpath document, the input abstractor is further adapted to expose the data stored in the one or more data stores as a data model and infoset, the input abstractor is further adapted to provide a cursor model over data stored in a data store to facilitate presenting a stream of nodes to the transformer, the input abstractor is further adapted to provide a virtual node that can be employed to traverse the stream of nodes, and the input abstractor is an XpathNavigator (p 19).
- 13. Regarding dependent claims 12 14, ADO.NET disclose a node selection abstractor adapted to dynamically construct a subset of input XML items from a set of input XML items, where the subset of input XML items are responsive to a query, the node selection abstractor is further adapted to facilitate navigating the subset of input XML items, and the node selection abstractor is an XpathNodelterator (pp 18 19).

Art Unit: 2176

14. Regarding dependent claims 15 – 18, ADO.NET disclose an optimized data store adapted to store one or more XML items in a manner that facilitates minimizing processing associated with constructing the subset of input XML items via a query, the optimized data store stores data in a data representation format that facilitates optimizing an Xpath query, the data representation format comprises expanded XML entities, deleted XML declarations and DOM model data converted to Xpath model data, and the optimized data store is an XpathDocument (pp 18 – 19).

15. **Regarding independent claim 19**, the claim incorporates substantially similar subject matt as claims 1, 3 – 6, and 12, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Response to Arguments

- 16. Applicant's arguments filed 10/03/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 17. In response to Applicant's arguments that ADO.Net fails to teach, disclose, or suggest the one or more input XML items comprise a subset of XML items contained in a XML document, it should be noted that ADO.Net does explicitly teach that the XMLDataDocument object checks/corrects XML documents within the XMLData object (p 17, lines 6 and 7), which is synonymous with the one or more input XML items comprise a subset of XML items contained in a XML document. Furthermore, ADO.Net illustrates on p 16 that xml data can be loaded for update and/or correction and written to a new xml file.

Art Unit: 2176

18. In response to Applicant's arguments that ADO.Net is not an enabling reference, it should be noted that "Even if a reference discloses an inoperative device, it is prior art for all that it teaches." Beckman Instruments v. LKB Produkter AB, 892 F.2d 1547, 1551, 13 USPQ2d 1301, 1304 (Fed. Cir. 1989). Therefore, "a non-enabling reference may qualify as prior art for the purpose of determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103." Symbol Techs. Inc. v. Opticon Inc., 935 F.2d 1569, 1578, 19 USPQ2d 1241, 1247 (Fed. Cir. 1991). (MPEP 2121.01)

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Hillery whose telephone number is (571) 272-4091. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F, 10:30 a.m. - 7:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather R. Herndon can be reached on (571) 272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HEATHER R. HERNDON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

NH